THE TROUBLOUS TRIAL

Henry C. Bowen Disposed Of as a Witness.

THE DAMAGE OF HIS EVIDENCE

An Important Point Regarding the Triple Covenant.

WHAT BOWEN REFUSED TO SIGN.

Woodley, the Negro Witness, Again Contradicted.

No Agreement to Burn Up the · Scandal Papers.

Mrs. Tilton Said To Be Preparing a Statement.

Mr. Bowen ascended the witness stand again frequently on his eyes, as If afflicted, like so many more of the brethren, with the lachrymose weakness of Plymouth cource. In regard to a letter of Mr. Beecher's which was read, to the effect that in his last interview with him be (Bowen) grew more and more friendly to him, Bowen tessided that there was no change in his feelings toward Beecher from beginning to end of the in-terview. When this point of the examination was reached—and it was only balf-past eleven at the time—to the astonishment of everybody, Mr. Fullerton announced, "That's all." A great deal more was looked for. It was the old story of the mountain in labor and the mouse being the mighty offspring of the parturition. Bowen, for months, has given the public the notion that he has the key of the secret, that he had sufficient material in his possession to destroy either side. The press called loudly for his production on the witness stand. The truth

to destroy either side. The press called loudly for his production on the witness stand. The truth was sadiy wanted, and Bowen alone was the man who could lurnish this rare and desirable luxury. Bowen by his oracular utterances heightened THE POFILAR DELUSION

that he carried around in the gloomy recesses of his soul the terrible facts that lay at the bottom of the scandal. So, a ter all, the potential Bowen leaves the stand without fulfilling anything like the promises made on his behalf. It may be said in his behalf that he came on as a rebuttal witness, and had no such freedom of statement as if he were in the line of direct examination on the main issue.

Mr. Evarts read over Horace B. Claffin's evidence, where he swears that the agreement reached by the arbitrators was that all the papers in the scandal should be burned and the \$7,000 paid. Mr. Bowen distinctly denied ever having heard a word about the burning of papers. No stiphilation of the kind was made. He said ne was still a member of Plymouth church, and it is known that he and all his family took communion there last Sunday.

THE CROSS-EXAMINATION of Mr. Bowen was a dull plees of ousiness. He told as to how the contracts between Mr. Tilton anything?

Mr. Fullerton of that, and we parted without terminate all relations, and we parted without derminate all relations, and we parted without the many calculation of that.

Q. Did you have another interview with him any qualification of that week? A. I don't recollect; my announcement was made in the Pulion and the erminate all relations, and we parted without him that week? A. I don't recollect; my announcement was made in the Pulion and the terminate all relations, and we parted without him any collect.

Q. Did you have another recollect, my announcement was made in the Pulion and the terminate all relations, and we had don't recollect; my announcement was made in the Pulion and the erminate all relations of that.

Q. Did you dave another was made in the Pulion and the terminate all relations of that.

of Mr. Bowen was a dull piece of business. He told as to how the contracts between Mr. Tilton and the Union and Independent were carried out:

Mr. Fullerton—I object.

Mr. Fullerton—I withdraw the objection. ters in regard to the arbitrators, all of which have been related many times over, the difference being that Mr. Bowen contradicts Mr. Beecher and several witnesses for the defence in his version ONE IMPORTANT POINT

was developed in the redirect examination— Bowen, when the original draft of the tripartite covenant was sent him, refused to sign it. Mr. Pullerton showed it to him and asked him to point out the words in the covenant he refused to abide by. Witness said they were crossed over in ink, and the words he desired to have substituted, so as to conscientiously enable him to put his signature to the document, were written in with pencil. The following are the words he crossed over :- "I believe that these coarges, imputations and innuendoes are without foundation in fact, and I disayow them." The substituted words which subsequently went in are. "I sincerely regret that these charges, imputations and innuendoes have been made, and I withdraw them." Here will be found the chief food for reflection. Bowen clearly knew of the charges and was unwilling to sign a document disayowed the slanders heaped upon his pastur.

It was always till yesterday a puzzle why Bowen did not sign the first draft of the tripartite agreement. The explanation is now at hand and so also is the explanation why Tilton refused to give his signature to the same paper. At the period Tilton said he would sign it ten times over If Bowen signed it once. Bowen would not agree to its terms, and he kept the paper for a night to devise some method of giving nearly equal satisfaction to the most interested party to the transschon-Beecher. In the morning the paper was returned, with the emendation already given, and when that was incorporated he gave his signature and the rest followed suit.

On the redirect Fullerton offered a question which he said he had inadvertently omitted on the direct examination, to the effect if at any time he (Bowen) stated to Mr. Beecher any charge that affected his moral character. An argument followed and the Judge ruled it out, much to the disappointment of the plaintiff and his counsel. The Judge deemed the question too remote, and though Reach and Fullerton worked hard to get it in His Honor was inflexible.

ANALOGIES.

Mr. Evarts asked Bowen minutely about the different steps and processes in the affair of arbitratween him and Titton. Some people surmised that the only purpose for so many inquiries was to satisfy Mr. Evarts as to the degree of analogy between the Tilton-Bowen arbitration and that greater one at Geneva where the great counsel took so prominent a part.

IN GOOD SPIRITS. Perhaps it is to be an era of good feeling before the trial ends. When Bowen descended from the witness stand at recess Beecher spook hands with tim. In the Plymouth church circles the fraternilation of the brethren was unusually cordial. Tilion was in uncommonly good humor at the end of the day's proceedings. He was ready to laugh and joke with anybody. His lawyers were jubilant, and the defendant's lawyers were not behind them in manifestations of joy at the great success of their side. And all the delight was so unaf-

Judge Nellson took als seat on the bench at eleven o'clock, and after considerable delay the examination of Mr. Henry C. Bowen was resumed, and in response to Mr. Fullerton he testified as

Q. Returning to the 26th of December, I desire Q. Returning to the 25th of December, I desire to ask you how long prior to the meeting on the day after Christmas did you see Mr. Beesner? A. The following Sabbath; I saw him on the 1st of January at his house; it was nothing more than a formal cail; I han no special conversation with him in reserence to Mr. Tilton on that day; I merely stated that his relations with the paper had ceased; that was early in the evening, about two or six o'clock; I announced it to Tilton on the Saturnay morning previous, which was the 3ist of December; I visited Mr. Beecher the following morning, about hime o'clock; Mr. Beecher was not present; I did not reply to Mr. Beecher was not present; I did not reply to Mr. Beecher vas not present; I did not reply to Mr. Beecher vas not present; I did not reply to Mr. Beecher vas not present; I did not reply to Mr. Beecher vas not present; I did not reply to Mr. Beecher vas not present; I did not reply to Mr. Beecher vas not present; I did not reply to Mr. Beecher vas not present; I did not reply to Mr. Beecher vas not present.

the ad of sandary, 1871, which was produced produced produced produced by the sandary of the sandary of the sandary sandary sandary of the sandary san

Mr. Beecher on me recollection.

Q. Have you stated all you said to Mr. Beecher in that conversation? A. All that frecollect; he stated that there is ableen stories in circulation in reserence to the morals of Mr. Titton, which he

said. I ought to know, but the particulars of which I don't recoilect.

Q. Did anything more occur at that interview
on Monday after New Year's Day? A. He saked
me whether I was friendly to him; I said our difierences were settied, and he stated he was giad

Q. Did he use the words "Will you stand by me as a friend?" A. Only as I stated it to you.
Q. Did you promise to become more friendly to him? him?
Mr. Evarts objected, and after a lengthened discussion the question was put as follows:
Q. In that interview did you say or do anything
indicating friendliness toward Mr. Beecher more
than you had expressed. A. I made the call with
friendly feelings; they continued during the inter-

friendly feelings; they continued during the interview and remained when I left.

By Mr. Evarts—Say, if that is the letter and the envelope you took to Mr. Heecher? A. I cannot swear positively; there are marks upon it as naving been opened and closed.

Q. Have you any recollection whether Mr. Beccher ever opened it? A. I have not; I don't know whether Mr. Beccher observed that it was an open letter; it had been in my possession two or three hours before I gave it to Mr. Beecher; I gave it to Mr. Tilton and Mr. Johnson had called at my house desiring to see me; taey said they would come on Tuesday mortaling, and I said it would see them when they came; they remained about two nours, and the topic of conversation was that for which the appointment was made; Johnson left at twelve o'clock and Mr. Tilton soon after, pernaps fitteen minutes; the prolonged interview was between us three.

minutes; the prolonged interview was between us three.

Q. Did you write to Mr. Freeland that day? A. I did; I have not a copy of that letter; I don't remember at what hour of the cay! I sent the letter; I received a verbal answer when the messenger returned; John E. Bowen, my son, was the messenger; Q. How soon after you got the return message that you went to see Mr. Beecher? A. Four or live o'clock in the aiternoon.

Q. Did you see anybody at Deacon Freeland's house besides Mr. Beecher? A. I do n'ot recollect seeing any one; Mr. Freeland may have been there, but I don't recollect.

Q. Do you remember whether your interview with Mr. Beecher was by gaslight or light of day?

A. I don't remember; the interview was in the front parior; I don't remember how many persons lived in the mouse; the loiding doors of the parior were closed when I wells in: the room was compty when I went there; I think I remained about half an hour.

Q. Do you remember when you got to the house whom you saw there waiting for you? A. I do not recoilect, nor do I temember having a conversation with a gentleman on my return, nor do I remember conversing with a gentleman before I would need Mr. Rescher.

remember conversing with a gentleman before I went to see Mr. Beecher.

Q. When you received that letter of the 2d January did you read it? A. I did, and have preserved it.

Q. When you read that letter did it occur to you that the note presented a different view of what had passed between you on the 28th from what had readly occurred? A. It did not make such an unpression; I first

impression; I first

TOLD MR. THATON OF HIS REMOVAL
on Saturday, and afterward, by letter, on Monday; don't remember the precise terms I used; at the interview at my house I intimated to him it was my intention to dispense with his services; I tok him I was dissatisfied with him and would terminate all relations, and we parted without any qualification of that.

(Laughter.)
The witness then stated that he owed Mr. Tilton about \$2,000 and a week's salary under the last contract. contract.
Q. To whom did you make the payment, if any?
A. To Mr. Moulton, who brought written authority . To Mr. Moulton, who brought written authority om Mr. Filton. The contract between the witness and Mr. Til-

The contract between the witness and Mr. Titou as contributor to the Independent and manager of the Brooking Chilon were here produced.

Q. Who suggested the arbitration? A. Mr. Claffin or Mr. Storrs; I am not positive; in the course of the arrangements i saw

FROOFS FOR THE GOLDEN AGE,
but I do not recollect who showed them to me.

Q. When did the naming of arbitrators first srise? A. I don't remember; I stated I would be ready to leave it to arbitrators; I fail to remember the person I named, but I think it was Mr. Cladin; I did not understand whom Mr. Titton named.

named.
Q. How long before the actual arbitration did
you see the proposed text of the tripartite agreement? A. Nover belore.
Q. Did you remember receiving the Woodstock

ment? A. Never defore.

Q. Did you remember receiving the Woodstock letter? A. Yes: two or three days after the settlement with Mr. Thion; the letter is some years old; it is dated Woodstock, June, 1863; this letter was returned to me; I knew it was to be returned to me the day before; I never asked for its return, nor did I expect it.

Q. Who brought you the tripartite agreement?
A. It was Mr. Cladin; it was left with me over night and signed at my office the next day.

Q. Can you fix the day on which it was left with you? A. I cannot and I do not remember whether the other signatures were on it when it was left with me (paper handed to witchess); this is not the paper that was left one day and signed the next; the paper signed by me was not the one that was left with me the day before.

Q. Who brought you the paper that you signed?
A. Mr. Chaffin; the papers were brought to my office in New York.

Q. Who brought you the paper that you signed? A. Mr. Claffin: the papers were brought to my office in New 1 orfs.

Q. How soon fid you know, after you signed it, that the office's signed it? A. I don't know; but I understood they all assented to it before they signed it! fide not keep a copy of the paper that was signed; i have no idea who was present at the arbitration; I went with Mr. Storis, but I could not say who was there—whether Mr. Iliton or Mr. Claffin or Mr. Moulton was in the room; the arbitration took place in Mr. Moulton's house, in the during room on the parfor floor; I asked the arbitrators what we were to submit and declined to submit anything unless in writing;

MR. MOULTON FHEN TOOK UP A PEN.

And we agreed to the document written out in his handwriting; no witnesses were introduced; Mr. Thiron presented his case briefly; he said the object was to settle amically the amount which he claimed; I don't recoilect what the amount was, but in substance about \$7,000; I don't recoilect that he said anything else except as to the amount he considered due to him; I said to the arbitrators that I would leave the whole question for their settlement; Mr. Tition and I were together in an adjoining room before the arbitrators had come to a conclusion; I cannot give he words of the award, out I remember the amount.

Mr. Evarts here read the testimony of Mr. H. B. Claffin to the effect that he made the award, and suggested that all the papers relating to the sendul should be burnes, and that Mr. Bowen whether he had heard Mr. Claffin make the suggestion. The witness replied in a positive manner that he heard no part of it.

whether he had heard Mr. Claffin make the suggestion. The witness replied in a positive manner that he heard n- part of it.

Q. Do you mean to say it was a fabrication? A. I have no recollection of it; I mean to say no such statement was made to me.

Q. Do you mean to say you do not recollect it?
A. I mean to say it would have been impossible for him to make it to me without recollecting it.

Q. Was no such statement made to you? A. I have no recollection of It.

Q. Have you any recollection of anything of the kind naving been said? A. I have a recollection that nothing of the kind was said.

Q. So far as you know, nothing occurred out of which such a statement could be made? A. Nothing wastever.

Nothing whatever.
Q. Be so good as to state what you do remem-Q. Be so good as to state what you do remember? A. I may quality my last statement by saying that there was a conversation in the room as to making up freeded, or something to that effect.
Q. Might that remark of Mr. Cladin's have been made without ron hearing it? A. It might, but it was not made before the arbitrators; it is entirely new to me; the room in which the arbitrators sat was about soventeen feet by sixteen.
At this stage the Court took a recess.

AFTER THE BECESS, Before calling Boxen to the stand after recess Stephen Pearl Andrews was asked by Mr. Pullerton, at the suggestion, no doubt, of the gentleman involved, what General Hammond did he mean in his statement of the day before, as being in the habit of visiting Mrs. Woodauli. "General Hammond of St. Louis," "Not Surgeon General Hammond ?" "No, sir." Had not Andrews been stopped by the Judge he might have read the names of a hundred persons who were in the

monico's well known stewards in the Broad street restaurant, raised a laugh in the beginning by saying he was forty years in the great categor's employment, though no one would venture to swear that John's entire age exceeded forty; but then these restaurant people have long ago discovered the elixir of life to keep the bloom and spirit of youth bright and juicy like a fresh tomato. John swept away the negro Woodley's testimony as to seeing Victoria Woodhull in company with Theodore Titton up stairs in Delmonico's down-town restaurant. He showed that it was impossible they could be there by describing the character of the upper story, and that no ladies or gentlemen ever came there. The cross-examination of Mr. H. C. Bowen was bout being resumed at a quarter past two o'clock,

when Mr. Fullerton said he had a single question to Mr. Stephen Pearl Andrews. Mr. Andrews, who had been sitting hear Mr. Fullerton, stood up, and the following question was put to him:—Q. What General Hammond was it whom you mentioned yesterday as being present at Mrs. Woodhuli's? A. General Hammond of St. Louis, Mo.

MO.

Q. Not Surgeon General Hammond of St. Louis,
BOWEN'S CROSS EXAMINATION CONTINUED.
Mr. Evarts—On page 674. In the testimony of
Mr. Charles Storrs, he being asked:—
"Q. Alter they came in what was done? A. Mr.
Clafin made known to them the award.
"Q. State what he said? A. He stated that the
award was that the three parties, Mr. Eowen, Mr.
Thion and Mr. Beecher, were to sign a paper
called the 'Tripartite Agreement,' and that all the
papers were to be burned that the three parties
and that were likely to make any trouble ner-

papers were to be burned that the trouble here-had that were likely to make any trouble here-after, and that Mr. Bowen was to pay Mr. Titton \$7,000."
The witness said, in reply to Mr. Evarts—My attention was called to this testimony of Mr. Storrs, and I say the same as I said before.

Q. It may have occurred informally, but not as announced with the award? A. No, sir.

Q. Now, wir, I will ask your attention to what another of the aroutrators, Mr. Freeland, said:—

"Q. Mr. Clathin announced the award? A. He did.

"Q. What did be say the award was? A. Wei, in substance, he said first that the papers were all to be burned relating to the scandal.

"Q. Well? A. The next was—let me see, I don't exactly remember.

"Q. Mr. Beecher's letter of apology? A. Yes, sir; I recollect that Mr. Claffin—Mr. Claffin was the spokesman.

"Q. Where was that said that that letter of apology was to be burned? A. Right on the Spot.

aport, "Q in the presence of whom? A. All of them.
"Q. What did Moulton and Tilton say in regard to burning the letter? A. I understood that they assented to it; I didn't hear any objection, not a

winess said—I state most positively that nothing of the kind was said in my presence; I have some recollection, since I left this morning, without naving had conference with any person about the tripartite agreement, which I would like to state. (Aiter some discussion between counsel on both sides, the subject was not gone into by the witness). Nothing was said to me about the tripartite agreement until after this other one; nothing was said to me about any paper, and no paper was shown me unit after the arbitration; I say that again. (The last part of the answer was stricken out at the request of Mr. Evarts.)

the answer was stricken out at the request of Mr. Evarts.)
Q. Now, sir, see if this statement of Mr. Storrs in another part of the testimony—see if you recall to your mind what happened then (reading):
"Mr. Bowen said he wanted what is termed the Woodstock letter," and the arattrators assented to that? A. No allusion was made to that whatever in my presence; I think Mr. Storrs is wholly wrong about that.
Q. Now, sir, I will read what Mr. Cladin says—"As to burning the capers Mr. Bowen said, I have no papers, but I would like the return of the Woodstock letter, which was agreed to." A. My answer is the same.

"As to burning the capers Mr. Bowen said, I have no papers, but I would like the return of the Woodstock letter, which was agreed to." A. My answer is the same.

Q. Mr. Freeland says (quoting), "I remember Woodstock was mentioned and about a letter I think; Mr. Bowen wanted to have that returned to him and not burned?" A. I neither asked for it nor was it mentioned that it should be returned; I got it in the way that I mentioned this moraing; according to my recollection some of the editors of the Independent were in conierrace with me on Saturday night, when I made that engagement a reason for making the appointment of Monday with Mr. Johnson and Mr. Tilton; I think Dr. Spear and Mr. Gradden; I am not positive, however; perhaps one or two others; I cannot remember; I believe I have before answered the question of Dr. Eggleston being at my house on the 20th of December; I have no recollection of it, he may have been there; he frequently called, but I have no distinct recollection; very likely an appointment was made with him on Saturday to see me again on Monday, but I don't remember it; I have no recollection of it one way or the other; my memory is generally good; I think so; when I don't remember a thing I do not feel an asstrance that it did not take pince; I do not go so far as that; I think I have answered the question whether Mr. Eggleston did not come to my house on the afternoon of December 26, by appointment, and whether he did not find Mr. Tilton and Mr. Oliver Johnson there, by stating that I have no recollection; I have no recollection of saying to him "if Mr. Tilton is as bad as we think he is, he have said that I have no recollection of saying to him "if Mr. Tilton is as bad as we think he is, he takes exceedingly well;" there was nothing to that effect that I recollect; I do not referse me at all; I do not recollect that Dr. Eggleston finding me putting on my boots preparatory to going out; I have no recollection of saying to him "if Mr. Tilton to Mr. Beccher, or anything equivalent to that; I d

Mr. Beecher."

Q. "He is a good friend of mine, of yours; he is designted that Mr. Thion is removed, and says he is the worst man in the world, and that Mrs. Thion is a saint going to hearen before her time?" (Laughter.) A. I have no reconlection of the kind.

Q. No part of it? A. No, sr; no part.

Q. Do you say that it did not? A. No, sir; there was a great deal said on the subject; I do not know weether that was said or no; still it is not impossible.

know whether that was said or no.; still it is not impossible.

Q. Did you then say to him that Mr. Beecher had told you terrible things about Mr. Thiton? A. Same answer.

Q. You don't recollect? A. No.

Q. This does not revive it in your memory? A. My impression is laid not; I would not say positively; I believe Mr. Beecher was at Mr. Freeland's when I went there, but I will not be positively; I think he was; I have not a sufficiently it is not not say positively; I think he was; in the room when I got there, but ne may have come in a moment after; then answer my messenger brought upon this matter was "Yes." I simply requested Mr. Freeland to make an appointment at a certain nour and let me know if it covid not be fulfilled; he read the note and said "Yes;" that was the message my messenger orought back—nothing definite; I was not sure of meeting him; I expected it, nowever, because I requested him to make the absolutions and let me tow. was the message my messenger orought back-nothing aginit; I was not sure of meeting mim; I expected it, nowever, because I requested into the make the appointment and let me know; "Yes?" was the only appointment that was made; I wanted to know if the appointment could be made; Ido not recoilect the precise nour in the afternoon; it may have been nour or five o'clock, or early in the evening; it might have been as late as six o'clock or as early as four or five o'clock; the message I sent to Mr. Freeland was that four or five o'clock or any other hour would do, to suit his convenience; the only answer I got was "Yes;" I selected the hoar myself and went at the time specified in the note; I went at a certain sour, as I supposed; Mr. Beecher was not sent for after I got there; he was there; I am sure he was not sent for; I don't think I waited if he was not there, not a minute; I think he was there; I cannot say positively.

BEECHER BECLARING THAT TILTON WAS CRAZY.

Q. Now, what passed between you? A. I shook

Q. Now, what passed between you? A. I shook ands with him when I entered the room, and

ter, and, as i said, he put it in his pecket and made no reply—
Q. Yes, for the moment. A. I asked him then what reply he had to make to the letter; he stated, with a momen's hesstation, "The man is crazy," or "I think he is crazy;" something like that.
Q. veil, did you pursue the subject any jurther?
A. The subject was talked over.
Q. him you pursue the subject? He gave you the answer he was crazy? A. I asked him what he had to say, and his reply at first was, "Are you riendly with me. Mr. Bowen !" I said, "I am; we have settled all our differences: I come as a friend and desire a friend; interview; I come in no other way than as a lrigad,"
Q. Well, did your manner indicate your sincertity? A. It did; most assuredly I intended it should.
Q. You felt it sincerely? A. I felt it.

should.

Q. You felt it sincerely? A. I felt it.
Q. Did you show it? A. I don't think I did; I
was courteous and solite.
Q. No; out on this matter of your friendship, did
you show it decidedly? A. I am not able to remember, sir.
Q. Weil, you meant to be understood that you was really als friend? A. I went with irrendif

spirit.
Q. And so told him? A. I so told him; he asked me that question, however; I did not toll him un-

habit of visiting the Woodnuli, and who, under the altered character of the nouse of Woodnuli, might reel just as sensitive as Surgeon General Hammond, who was mistakenty put down among the list of visitors.

The questions in the cross-examination of Bowen were evidently collated with care. There have been eager and experienced hands in the work, and many snares were set to trip the feet.

of the Independent editor, but he was a wary burn, picking his way carefully and chirping low and sweet.

John L. Longhi, the last witness, one of Delmonico's well known stewards in the Broad street know, but don't go into details; that was my first

know, but didn't go into details; that was my hist reply.

Q. How did you get on after that? A. Mr. Beecher said he or his wie had received some letters from wis. Inton from fin West, which he desired very much to have me see.

Q. What did you say to that? A. Lasked him. It think, hithe letters were private; he said "No; I would like to have you call at my house and see them;" he said he would like to have me call that evening and see him or his wife, only that he was going out; he said he would like to have me call the next morning.

Q. To see him or his wife? A. His wife.

Q. What did you say to that? A. I said I did not care to call there mysel; that I had special reasons for not calling there, which pyobably he understood.

understood.
Q. You meant matters between yourself and

understood.

Q. You meant matters between yourself and Mrs. Beecher? A. I did.

Q. What redly did he make to that? A. I think he said that he would make that an right; I asked him, I think, if he would press it; I think he said he had an engagement; he was to go out of town, or something, but that it would all be arranged; I then hesta do bout glying him a redly; but at his urgent request I said I would go there, and I did call the next morning; Mr. Beccher spoke of Mr. Titton in a general way; he spoke of lacts and names that I old not know, but some things; idd.

Q. Were those lacts and names connected with ladies or women? A. They were in several instances, I think, but I am hot positive about it; I knew the parties whose names were mentioned by reputation, so that whin the name was mentioned it conveyed personality to me.

Q. What did you say to that? A. I said I was aware there were damaging reports in regard to Mr. Titton in that relation; he said what he had heard was of a similar character, but he only spoke of it is a general way; I named some things that I had heard; I spoke generally, also, and said when I had severed my relations with Mr. Titton as editor, that I had leavens for that step, and additional reaso is to sever my connection with him entirely had come to me since the severance.

Q. Did you say anything as to the number, quantity, or variety of those imputations on Mr. Titton, that had come to your knowledge? A. I do not think I did; spoke of them as a whole, and said satisfied me to end his relations with the two papers.

papers. .
Q. When you told him that, what did he reply?

Papers.
Q. When you told him that, what did he reply?
A. 1 do not remember the reply that he made.
Q. Do you remember whether his reply was in any way intended to dissuade you from that determination? A. 1 do not think he made any remark when I told him this.
Q. Did he give expression to any dissuasion or advice in the matter? A. No.
Q. No opinion? A. No, because I had told him what I had done; I had decided about it.
Q. You needed no dissuasion? A. No, I think Mr. Beecher made no remark of dissuasion.
Q. Did you understand his remarks as concuring with you?

objected to.

Q. What impression did the instances orought up by Mr. Seecher make on your mind as to dismissing Mr. Tilton? A. My mind was made up as to dismissing him, and Mr. Seecher did notofiantelt.

Q. Afer you told Mr. Beecher that your mind was made up what further passed? A. He requested me to come to his house next morning to look at the contents of a number of letters; I think that was all that passed.

quested me to come to his house next morning to look at the contents of a number of letters; I think that was all that passed.

Mr. Evarts read a letter from Mr. Beecher which he had addressed to Mr. Bowen. It contained the following passage:—'I am informed by one whose judgment and integrity I rely upon, and who has means of forming an opinion better than any of us, that he knows the whole matter about Mrs. B—, that the stories are not true, and that the same is the case with other stories.

Q. When you received that letter did your mind reduct to what Mr. Beecher had told you? A. I can't say; of course i noticed the contents of the letter; I called on Mr. Beecher on New Year's Day; it was my usual practice to do so; I simply announced to him that I had sundered the relations of Mr. Thion as contributer to the Independent and as enter of the Erocklyn Union; it is my impression that I communicated this information to him juring the hours of reception, while persons were calling.

Q. On the 26th of December did Mr. Beecher say anything to you in regard to a report he had heard during the month in reference to a meditated separation netween Mr. and Mrs. Thiton? A. That was in the conversation of the next morning; I cannot say it occurred that afternoon or next morning there was something said on that subject; I cannot say it occurred that afternoon or next morning there was something said on that subject; I cannot say it occurred that afternoon or next morning there was something said on that subject; I cannot say it occurred that afternoon or next morning there was something said on that subject; I cannot say it occurred that afternoon or next morning there was something said on that subject; I cannot say it occurred that afternoon or next morning there was something said on that subject; I cannot say it occurred that afternoon or next morning there was something said on that

morning; I cannot say it occurred that alternoon or next morning, but either that alternoon or next morning there was something said on that subject; I cannot determine whether it was in the afternoon or in the morning; I have no memory on the subject; I am one of the founders of Plymouth church and am still connected with it.

Mr. Bowen then identified some letters he had written to Mr. Beecher.

By Mr. Fullerton—Q, art. Bowen, look at those two letters and say if you addressed them to Mr. Tilton on or about their respective dates? A. I addressed them to him.

Q. And about the time of their respective dates? A. At the time.

Q. Mr. Bowen, do you know where the drait of the tripartite agreement now is that you refused to sign? A. I do not.

Q. What became of it? A. I don't know, sir.

Q. Do you recollect what you did with it? A. I handed it to the party who brought it to me.

Q. Who was he? A. Mr. Cladin.

Q. Yes. A. I cannot.

Q. Yes. A. I cannot to be date of the tripartite agreement as It was signed, being April 2, 1572—It seems to be before the arbitration—and ask you it you understand why that was on it?

A. I am not able to say way the date was there.

Q. Do you recollect if the paper that was first presented to you as the drait of the tripartite agreement purported to have been drawn up several days before it was presented to you and dated accordingly? A. My recollection is that the paper did not come to me until after the money was paid, and I am able to say that when it was presented to me I made this statement that as Mr. Fullerton—I think that is proper.

Mr. Porter—We object to this.

Mr. Porter—We object to this.

Mr. Fullerton—I think that is proper.

Judge Nelson—Cervain y; I think it is.

The witness—Foat as Mr. Tilton and myself had settled our difficulties, and as Mr. Becher and myself an

settied our difficulties, and as Mr. Beecher and myself had settied them, that I SAW NO BEASON WAY I SHOULD SIGN any such paper; I made that remark, and remember it distinctly.

Q. But you don't recollect the date of the instrument that was first presented to you? A. No

strument that was first presented to you? A. No str. 1 don't; nor the second.

Q. Now, sir, what was in that first paper thus presented which you objected to?

Mr. Evaris—That I object to. The paper that is here will speak for itself.

Mr. Fullerton—is the paper here?

Mr. Fullerton—let the paper here?

Mr. Fullerton—Let me have the other?

Q (showing paper.) Look at this paper, which is "Exhibit b. 113," and say whether you recognize it as the first draft that was presented to you as the tripartite agreement? A. I believe this to be the first paper.

as the tripartic agreement? A. I believe this to be the first paper.

Q. Now will you be kind enough to indicate, by lead ceacht, the paragraph which you refused ito sign, or the paragraph to which you raised an objection? Just mark it so I can read it, Mr. Bowen, please. A. The paragraph, the words crossed, I refused to sign; all the words crossed I refused to assent to.

remsed to sign; at the words of the sastent to.

Q. Do you recollect, Mr. Bowen, whether you crossed that paragraph out at the time it was presented to your A. I did.

Q. And look, please, at the intermeation, in lead peall, and say whether it is in your handwriting? A. It is in my handwriting.

Q. And the emendation you made at the time? A. At the time or while I had it; that evening and part of next morning, or before I delivered it back.

part of next morning, or before I delivered it back.

Q. And it is an emendation, in lead pencil, indicating a paragraph which you were willing to sign as a substitute for the one you crossed out? A. It does—it does, if signed any.

Mr. Fellietton—i propose to read what is crossed out and what is substituted in its place. That which is crossed out reads as follows:—'I declare that these charges, imputations and innuendoes are without any foundation in lace, to the best of my knowledge and belief." What is substituted in its place, in lead pencil, is as follows:—'I eincerly regret having made any charges or—I sincerly regret having made any imputations, charges or innuendoes unfavorable to the Christian character of Mr. Beecher." Now, Mr. Bowen, you may statte whether, with that afteration of yours— A brief controversy as to the two papers ensued,

and Mr. Fullerton but another question.
Q. Look also as the head of page 3, upon this paper, Mr. Bowen, and say whether you intended to cross the word "disavow," A. I ord.
Q. And what did you substitute in its place? A. "Withoraw."

Q. And what did you substitute in its place? A. "Withdraw."
Q. Withdraw all? A. Withdraw all.
Ar. Beach—The word "disavow" is still retained in the paper that was subsequently executed.
Mr. Fulcrion—the original read, "And I expressly disavow the charges, imputations and innendees reputed as having been made and aftered by me." The word "disavow" is erased, Mr. Bowen says, and the words "withdraw all" substituted in its place instead.
Mr. Beach—I hav's so, when the paper was executed.

By Mr. Fullerton—Look at the original draft, Mr. Bowen, and state whether you struck out these words miter the words "thow nothing." Did you strike out the words "derogatory to his reputation as a dergyman or a man?" A. I did. Q. And did you insert in their place instead anything else? A. I did. Q. And ilso, what? A. Those words. Q. Namiely, "which should prevent me from extending to him m. most cortial friendship, confidence and Christian lellowship."

BOWEN'S TONORANCE OF THE LETTERS.
Q. At the time of the subtration did you know anything about what is known as the letter of apology? A. I and not, that I remember.
Q. At the time of the signing of the tripartite agreement did you know anything about it? A. Not that I recedied.
Q. At that time did you know of the existence of any of those other letters—namely, the letter of February 5, 1812? A. What about?
Q. Well, it is a notorious letter. A. I don't know. Mr. Fullerton-Look at the original draft,

Mr. Fullerton-Wulle they are looking up those exhibits, Mr. Bowen, I will ask you whether, at the time of the arbitration, you did not call the attention of the arbitration, you did not call the contract between yourself and Mr. Third relating to the Independent, which is as follows:—"Inis contract may be reminated by either party at any time by paying to the other party the sum of \$2.500. or by the death of either or by mutual consent, and in no other way." Do you recollect his calling the attention of the arbitrators to that clause? A. I think no did.

Q. And do you also recollect, Mr. Bowen, that he called the attention of the arbitrators to the other contract, in respect to the Daily Union or the Brooklyn Union, sections 3 and 11, which I will read?

Mr. Fullerton then read a lengthy agreement, entered into between Mr. Bowen and Mr. Thiou, reciling the terms of the contract.

Q. Do you recollect his calling attention to that?

A. Itnings he did.

Mr. Everts—You understand those contracts are

Mr. Evarts—You understand those convices are in evidence.

Mr. Fullerron—Yes, but I want to know whether the attention of the arbitrators was called to the several provisions.

To witness—and didn't Mr. Tilion in that arbitration base his claim for damages upon the respective paragraphs which I have now called your attention to? A. I don't remember whether he did entirely; he presented all the case from his standpoint.

Standpoint.
Q. I call your attention to the letter of February 7, 1871, from Mr. Beecher to Mr. Moulton, and ask whether you knew of its existence at the time either of the arbitration or the signing of the tripartite agreement? A. I have no recollection of it whatever.

whatever.

Q. I now call your attention to the letter of the same date, from Mr. Beecher to Mr. Titton. Did you know of that? A. I did not.

Q. And did you know anything of the existence of the letter commencing, "The blessing of God rest upon you." It has no date, I believe? A. No, sir. Q. I call your attention to the letter of February 5, 1872, and ask the same question with regard to that commencing there? (indicating.) A. No recollection of it whatever.
Q. Ind you know anything at that time of the charge Mrs. Tilton had made against Mr. Beecher in writing?

charge Mrs. Tilton had made against in writing?

Mr. Evarts objected, on the ground that it was not material or proper.

Mr. Beach—We propose to prove he had no

Mr. Beach.—We propose to prove he had no knowledge.
Ar. Fallerton—This is concerning no knowledge; it is competent for the to prove that he was entirely ignorable to the existence of this correspondence and of these various papers which have been given in evidence here, at the time of the signing of the tripartite agreement.

Mr. Evarts—I don't see how it is material, but I haven't cared anything about these letters; this is not a controversy between Mr. Bowen and Mr. Beecher as to whether he should be held to the tripartite agreement.

Bowen not aware of Mrs. Tilton's charge.
By Mr. Fullerton—Did you know anything of the existence of any charge which Mrs. Tilton had made against Mr. Beecher in writing? A. I did not.

Q. Or any retraction of that charge? A.. I did not.
Q. Or any recantation of the retraction? A. I did not.
Q. Now. Mr. Bowen, I understood you to say that you informed Mr. Be-cher ou the Monday following January 1, that you would then discharge Mr. Titton? A. I did.
Q. What time in the day was that? A. It was in the evening; it was after four o'clock, I should judge.

the evening; it was after four o'clock, I should judge.

Q. Was it before or after this letter was written to you which is marked Exhibit 4½? A. It was atter that letter was received.

Q. You had received that letter before you told Mr. Becener? A. Yes, sir; I received it in the morning and I told him in the evening.

By Mr. Heach—What time, with reference to the receipt of the letter, was the relation of Tilton sindered entirely with your paper? A. On the Saturday before the last day of the year.

By Mr. Fullerton—I call your attention to this evidence of Mr. Beecher:—"Q. Well, did Mr. Moulton say that Mr. Bowen charged that you had confessed adultery to him? A. I—ne did—yes; he said so; I was only besitating as to whether it was in that Interview or not; he said so at some one said so; I was only hesitating as to whether it was in that interview or not; he said so at some one of the interviews, and about that time. Q. At about this time? A. Yes, sir. Q. Weil, what did you reply to that? A. I think liaughed; I denied it; when I coniess adultery to Mr. Bowen I am sure it will be impressed upon my mind. Q. Did you say to him on that subject whether Mr. Jowen and ever made any such charge to you personally? A. I said to him from the origin of the difficulties between Mr. Bowen and me down to Fostuary or January and February, 1870, and again December 26; there had been several adultications, arbitrations—that is, there had been many conversations, but Mr. Bowen had never had any difficulty with me except business enfiliculty, and that he never, under any circumdifficulty, and that he never, under any circumstances, made a statement which implicated my moral character."

Mr. Evarts—How does this become a subject of

moral character."

Mr. Evarts—Bow does this become a subject of redirect?

Mr. Beach—Contradicting Mr. Beecher.

Mr. Evarts—But it is not redirect; it does not relate to anything that I inquired about.

Ar. Fullerton—It is a question we omitted to put in the direct examination inadvertently.

Judge Neilson—Inadvertently?

Mr. Fullerton—Yes. My attention was called to it during recess by Mr. Beaon.

Mr. Evarts—This is a conversation given on our part from Mr. Beecher as to what passed between him and Mr. Moulton—Mr. Beecher and Mr. Moulton, they having given Mr. Moulton's view of the conversation between himself and Mr. Beecher. Now that—

Mr. Fullerton—You had better hear my question fivst. My question has not been put, sir. I have been waiting for my learned adversary to hunt up papers. My question is the—Did you at any time state to Mr. Beecher anything, any charge which implicated his moral character? Watt now under the objection.

state to Mr. Beccher anything any the conversation and mr. Events—All that has been given in evidence here is as to a conversation between Mr. Mouiton and Mr. Beecher, and Mr. Beecher speaks of that conversation in contradiction of Mr. Mouiton, Mr. Bowen cannot be called to contradict air. Beecher in that statehont, because the only statement that Mr. Beecher made was concerning what passed outween him and Mr. Mouiton (Mr. Evarta read the lestimony as quoted by Mr. Fullerton, and continued). All that is given is a part of the conversation between Mouiton and Beecher. Mr. Beecher had no right to testify in regard to what passed octween Mr. Bowen and him.

Mr. Fullerton—We think the question is a proper one.

Judge Nelison—I don't think it is a proper one.
I don't think we can take that.
STRUGALE OVER THE WOODSTOCK LETTER.
Mr. Fullerion then procured the

Mr. Fullerion then procured the celebrated Woodstock letter from the winess and offered it in evidence. It is a letter from Bowen to Thiton, written in 1863.

Mr. Evarts objected and asked how it was ma-

tin evidence. It is a letter from Bowen to Lilton, written in 1882.

Mr. Evarts objected and asked how it was material.

Mr. Fullerton—It is a letter to which attention was called by the other side.

Mr. Fullerton—Yes, sir, in the first instance.

Ar. Evarts—I didn't ass him the date, I asked him how old the Woodstock letter was spoken of by the arbitrators as one of those papers that were to be alsoposed of. Nothing said about burning it, but it was to be returned. Mr. Bowen differs from them.

That does not give a right to read it.

Mr. Beache—This letter, sir, was snown to Mr. Beechee and the testimony of Mr. Mouston in regard to it was to this effect:—'Q. Did you state to Mr. Beechee and the testimony of Mr. Mouston in regard to it was to this effect:—'Q. Did you state to Mr. Beecher what Mr. Hitton proposed to do with that letter? A. Yes, sir, Q. What did you say upon that subject? A. I told him Mr. litton intended to publish it. Q. What did Mr. Beecher say? A. Mr. Beecher said that the statement hat he had ever confessed to Mr. Howen, and Mr. Bowen and settlements with Mr. Bowen, and Mr. Bowen had never raised with him at any such settlement any question of adultery; he said he production of the letter and by the evidence of Mr. Bowen that the statements of Mr. Beecher—Mr. Beecher—Mr. Beecher upon that subject were untrue; that this letter and its contents—Why, we suppose, sir, it naturally and legally draws in the instrument itself, to be taken in connection with the commentaries; that this part of the evidence and conduct of Mr. Beecher cannot be properly understood except in commence with these references alinde.

Mr. Evarts— Mr. Evarts-This letter is made somewhat

Mr. Evarus—This letter is made somewhat amous in the public consideration, though it never has been given to the public, by its being rearred to in another letter that has been made public. Now, I cannot understand how my learned riends can seriously propose to give in evidence nere a letter written in the year 1863 by Mr. Bowen to Mr. Ition. This is no evidence of anything that Mr. Bowen has said to Mr. Beecher, and I am unable to see the ground on which a letter written in 1863 is to be given in evidence in this issue, which is a matter which had its first beginning in 1863.

Mr. Beach-it don't make any difference as to

Mr. Beach—it don't make any difference as to the origin or the antiquity of the letter. It is revived at times, the letter of Mr. Thiton to Mr. Bowen was shown to Mr. Beecher.

Mr. Beach—O yes, he said so.

Mr. Beach—O yes, he said so.

Mr. Evarts—He said it was shown to him at the time it was written.

Juage Neilson said that he did not taink that he could domit the letter.

Mr. Heach—Suopose this letter contains a charge of the very fact which Mr. Beecher denies was ever made against aim. At the time of this conversation spoken of by Mr. Moulton, the fact of the charge on the part of Bowen against Beecher of adultery and or moral impropriety was then presented to as mind, and as stated that Mr. Bowen never made any such charge. Can't we contradict that statement and show that he did?

Mr. Heach—Bat charge of Mr. Bowen's,
Juage Neison—I them it is too remote and ought not to be received.

Mr. Fullerion—Frat's all, sir.

for the enrechment of old")? A. I have no recellection of it.

Q. If you had seen it that night of the arbitration, you would have remembered it, wouldn't you? A. I should say I never saw it before.

Q. You didn't append it to the check when you gave it to Mr. Thiton r. A. I did not.

This closed the examination of Mr. Bowen.

DELMONICO'S LIEUTENANT.

John Napoleou Longhi residie: that there was no upper residurant in down town Delmonico's that they did not move up stairs till June 27, 1874.

On the cross-examination the witness stated On the cross-examination the witness stated that there was a restaurant in the basement, next door to Delmonico's, the entrance to which was about twenty-two feet from the former place.

The Court then adjourned till to day at eleven taken.

NEW YORK CITY.

Mr. Henry Morenouse, of England, preached in the Church of the Holy Trinity, Madison avenue last evening, to a large congregation. Mr. W. L. Ormsby, Jr., lectures this evening before the New York Liberal Club, No. 30 Stuyve-sant street, on "Educational Problems."

An officer of the Nineteenth precinct found the body of a new porn pabe in the vacant lot at the corner of Sixty-fourth street and First avenue

Jacob Ockleman, while at work at No. 53 Market street yesterday morning, sustained a fracture of the right leg by a barrel falling on him. He was

The disbursements of the St. George's Society for the month of April amount in cash value to \$383 90, distributed amongst 201 persons, includ-ing 108 meal and lodging tickets. Rev. W. H. Leavell, of Jackson, Miss., delivered

a lecture last evening, in the Calvary Baptist church, Twenty-third street, between Fifth and Sixth avenues, on "The Southern Problem." The spelling match mania continues. They had it at the Free Tabernacle Methodist Episcopal church

in Thirty-fourth street last night. Prizes were distributed, and Professor David B. Scott gave out August Unger, residing in Hoboken, had his skull tractured yesterday afternoon by a barrel falling upon him from the second floor of the store No. 145 Chambers street. He was taken to the Park Hospital.

Comptroller Green paid yesterday, through Paymaster Falls, the officers and employes of the Department of Public Charities and Correction, including the islands and hospitals, in full to May 1, amounting to \$23,340.

While at work on the new building corner of

Fiftieth street and Third avenue yesterday after-noon John Hassett, of No. 954 Third avenue, fell-into the sub-cellar, sustaining severe injuries. He was taken to Bellevue Hospital.

Henry Bodenheim, a merchant, doing business at No. 129 Duane street, died suddenly iasi Wednesday evening while visiting some friends at No. 236 East Nincteenth street. Coroner Woltman will hold an inquest in the case.

The commendation of meritorious boys of Grammar School No. 35 was yesterday witnessed by a number of visitors. Short speeches were made by ex-Governor Seymour, Mayor Vaux, of Philadelphia; James W. Gerard, School Inspector Fifth and Commissioner Wood. Among those present were also Commissioners Dowd and Baker and Messrs. Schell, Britton, McLean and Earl, School Trustees. Inspector Kimball presided, and declamations were given by Masters Clarke and Leman.

BROOKLYN.

Isaac Davis was committed by Justice Eames yesterday for forcibly entering the premises of Oswald Edlen and stealing wearing apparel.

Superintendent of Police Folk vesterday issued a general order requiring the members of the force to wear their summer clothing on and after the 8th inst. Frederick Schmeling, the laborer who fell

through the hatchway in the sugar rednery at the foot of Ninth street, died yesterday and Coroner Notan was requested to note an inquest, Before Judge Gilbert, in the Supreme Court yes terday, a motion was made to amend the com-

plaint in the suit of Kate E. Georgi against Leo-poid Georgi, on the ground of abandonment. The Court reserved its decision.

Freeerick Schelling, the man who fell from the third floor of De Castro & Donner's sugar refinery

to the ground floor, through a hatenway, on Tuesday, did not oreathe his dist until yesterday morging, his vitality astonishing the physicians.

Yesterday diternoon Mrs. William Blake, residing at No. 105 Wyckoff street, Brooklyn, leit a cut of hot tea within reach of her infant daughter Re beeca, aged twenty-one months. The little one drank a small quantity of the tea, and it was so hot that she died a few moments afterward. Oor-oner Simmons was notified to hold an inquest.

STATEN ISLAND.

Large hauls of shad are still made daily by the Staten Island fishermen, and carrloads of them are sent to Fuiton Market. Ascension Day services were held in a number of the churches on Staten Island yesterday, and the public schools were closed.

Yesterday morning there were nine squarerigged and eleven fore-and-aft vessels at anchor off Staten Island, most of them bound out and of tained by southerly winds.

The Quarantine Commissioners now hold meet ings daily, excepting Sundays and holidays, and

Drs. Anderson and Lea held the autopsy and inquest upon the body of Frank McAdams, who died at Police Headquarters, Stapleton, on Wednesday evening. The verdict was that he died from the excessive use of liquor. The body was yesterday taken by McAdams' father and interred in St. Peter's burying ground.

Mr. Julius Credo, Treasurer of Edgewater, will commence the sales of property for unpaid taxes at the Village Hall, Stapleton, on Wednesday next. Many delinquents have already paid the back taxes, and most of the property disposed of at last year's sale has been redeemed by the owners upon payment of costs and filteen per cent.

NEW JERSEY.

The annual meeting of the Essex County Board of Chosen Freeholders was held yesterday in the Court House at Newark, when the present Board would up its affairs, preparatory to a final adjournment next week.

PROFITABLE BOND-AGE.

The Aqueduct Board of the city of Newark, which consists of three republicans and three democrata, with the Mayor of the city President ex oficio, have recently issued bonds to the value of \$500,000. For these bids were opened on Wednes day. One large financial institution of Newark offered to take up the whole half million at par; but the Board thought it could do better, and is did. It issued \$100,000 worth only, and for these received 104 1-10. The last sale of bonds realized only 97, so that it would seem the confidence in the city securities is improving rather than decay-ing. The purchasing party is a New York firm.

EXCITEMENT IN A CEMETERY.

The Delaware and Lackawanna Railroad Company being about to use a corner of the old Cathour Comptery adjoining the Bergen Tunner in Jersey City, the removal of the bodies in the transferred portion commenced a few days since. This was not generally known till yesterday, when several hundred persons assembled in the ceme-tery amid great indignation and excitement. The workmen fled from the place, and several of the boxes that had been brought to receive the mouldering remains were smashed by the crowd. Anger turents were uttered, and a flerce determination was manifested to prevent the jurther progress

the sew words after the words of know nothing."
Did you strike out the words of know nothing."
Did you strike out the words of know nothing."
Q. And did you hasert in their place instead anything else of A. i did.
Q. And did you haser A. I did.
Q. And did you haser A. I did.
Q. And it so, what? A. Those words.
Q. Namely, "which should prevent me from extending to him mest corrail friendship, considered anything about what is known as the letter of anything about what is known as the letter of apology? A. I did not, that I received the charge of the part of Bowen against the charge on the part of Bowen against the charge of the signing of the triparite anything about what is received.
Q. At the time of the signing of the triparite and of those other isters—namely, the letter of any of those other isters—namely, the letter of Eventuary a. Istaly a which any thing shown of the existence of any of those other isters—namely, the letter of Eventuary a. Istaly a. What has most contained that statement and show that he did you know anything about it? A. Not that I received.

Q. At the time of the signing of the triparite and the most contained to ask that is the charge of the charge. Can't we contained to a moral improportiety was then proved the signing of the triparite of any of those other isters—namely, the letter of Eventuary a. Istaly a. What has most contained to ask statement and show that he did not taink that he charge of the work. The angry multitude would listen the close of the southwest side was condemned in the time it was written.

Mr. Beach—Suppose this letter contains a charge of the southwest side was condemned in the time it was written.

At the time of the signing of the triparite of the letter. It is the contain the provided that the did not taink that he did